Reporting Poll Results BetterBy Frank Newport

A major challenge facing the survey research industry continues to be the search for better ways to disseminate and report survey results to the public.

The quality of scientific research is typically controlled through the process of publication and replication. The press has tended to follow this lead in terms of reporting hard-scientific results, usually picking up and reporting on research only after it has been recognized and legitimated using some type of scientific review. A review of the science section in a recent *New York Times*, for example, shows that the articles typically rely on scientific results which have first been published in such carefully-controlled journals as *Nature*, *Science*, and the *Journal of the American Medical Association*. These publications serve as a filter before the findings reach the general public.

66

This reliance on survey results to help guide the ship of state necessitates that polls be trustworthy and reliable when they are first published.

99

The way in which survey research is reported today, on the other hand, often misses a great deal of the checks and balances developed as part of the scientific process. Unlike other scientific endeavors, public opinion polls can be conducted with relatively little investment, at low cost, and quickly. Studies are conducted and released essentially without review or context. Media outlets often publish survey results as received, without scrutiny, and assuming that designation of the source and sponsor are enough to absolve them (the media) from responsibility for the report's content.

Many of these "direct to the media" polls are conducted conscientiously and meet exacting standards of science. Others do not. The public has no way to consistently evaluate the survey research results it sees. The public is not protected by peer review and most often is not protected by journalistic fact finding. Savvy consumers of polling results can engage in their own review and synthesis, comparing across publicly-released survey results—but this is too much to be regularly expected. The public can also, with some justification, rely on well-established media outlets who sponsor their own polls to provide high-quality research. Most citizens do not, however, constrain their news consumption to a handful of major newspapers and networks, and even these outlets often publish other survey results in addition to their internal polling.

The Biggest Hurdle for the Polls is...

The evolving body of scientific knowledge can ultimately ignore research that doesn't meet scientific standards, even if it has already been published and disseminated to the public. But there is a key factor which differentiates public opinion research from other sciences: the public is intended to be its main audience. The power of public opinion polling to illuminate the attitudes and behaviors of the citizens of a democracy is one of its primary virtues. This reliance on survey results to help guide the ship of state necessitates that polls be trustworthy and reliable when they are first published.

The burden of accomplishing this goal lies both on the survey research industry and media. In coordination with media gatekeepers, more mechanisms can hopefully be developed to discourage the use and dissemination of polls that don't meet high standards. There should also be more review by journalists before publishing survey results; asking such questions as how the findings compare to other poll results on the same topic, how was this poll done, and what criteria can be used to evaluate it? If journalists can't take on this burden, they should make use of a peer review process before results are reported to the public.

Frank Newport is editor-in-chief, the Gallup Poll

Interpreting Poll Results Better By Howard Schuman

Validity is the largest continuing challenge for survey research. By validity I do not mean the usual definition of measuring what one intends to measure. A better definition is knowing what one has measured even if it is partly or even entirely different than intended, and also understanding the limitations of one's measures.

Validity is primarily a problem for survey professionals and scholars rather than for the survey industry as such. The industry seems to thrive regardless of the validity of the reported data. The power of the sample survey method is so great, so fully accepted, and so difficult to replace by any other method that even the most egregious blunders committed in its name have little or no effect on its further use. From the fiasco of the Truman-Dewey poll forecasts through the latest embarrassing mispredictions, nothing has stood in the way of everincreasing calls for survey results. Indeed, the most vociferous critics of polls typically end up doing polls themselves at some